IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI BILAL NAZKI, THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

and
THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 20332 of 1995

Between:

K. Paidy Raju S/o. K. Venkanna, R/o. Chodavaram Visakhapatnam District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Registrar, Administratiom, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad.
2 The District Judge, Visakhapatnam, Visakhapatnam District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ or order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring that the action of the first respondent in not reinstating the Petitioner into service as process server is bad and illegal and consequently direct the respondents to reinstate the petition with back wages.

Counsel for the Petitioner : MR.KURITIBHASKARA RAO

Counsel for the Respondents : GP FOR HOME & Mr.C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY (SC FOR HIGH COURT OF A.P.)

The Court made the following :

–

–

–

–

–

ORDER : (Per Hon'ble Bilal Nazki, HACJ)

Heard learned Counsel for the parties.

Petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking reinstatement to the service. In the counter affidavit it has been stated that the petitioner has already been removed from service by an order dated 09.05.1991 after an enquiry was conducted against him. During the pendency of enquiry also, the petitioner got convicted in C.C.No.188 of 1989. In appeal also the conviction was confirmed. The petitioner has been convicted for the offences under Sections 323 and 510 of I.P.C. The action taken departmentally against the petitioner has also been confirmed in appeal. All those orders have not been challenged in this writ petition. Even otherwise, we do not find any merit in the writ petition in view of the fact that the petitioner was convicted by a criminal Court which was confirmed in appeal and the offences being of the nature of involvement of moral turpitude. Hence the writ petition is dismissed without costs.

_____

BILAL NAZKI, HACJ

5th April 2005.

_____

Dr. G.YETHIRAJULU, J

ajr

..... REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1 The Registrar, Administratiom, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad.
2 The District Judge, Visakhapatnam, Visakhapatnam District.

3 Two C.Cs. to the GP for Home, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad

(O.U.T).

4 Two C.D. copies.

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**ND**}*